## A STUDY OF STATISTICAL DISTRIBUTION PATTERN OF PM<sub>2.5</sub> CONCENTRATION IN THE URBAN ENVIRONMENT OF DELHI

## Amit Prakash<sup>a</sup>, Ujjwal Kumar<sup>a\*</sup>, Krishan Kumar<sup>a</sup>, Naresh Kumar<sup>b</sup>, V. K. Jain<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>School of Environmental Sciences, Jawaharlal Nehru university, New Delhi <sup>b</sup>Department of Geography, 316 Jessup Hall, University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA 52242, USA

## ABSTRACT

A number of studies have shown that most of the particles originating from anthropogenic sources have an aerodynamic diameter less than 2.5  $\mu$ m (Querol et al., 2001; Tiitta et al., 2002) and cause adverse impact on human health (Schwartz et al. 1996; Dockery and Pope, 1996; Spurny, 1998; Donaldson and MaCnee, 1999). It has been reported that the increase in the level of PM<sub>2.5</sub> is associated with increase in mortality (Borja-Aburto et al., 1998) and cardio respiratory hospitalizations (Burnett et al., 1999). In view of the adverse effects, the study of PM<sub>2.5</sub> is all the more pertinent. The present study attempts to develop the statistical distribution model fitting to PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration monitored at 3 different sites in Delhi, India. Statistical distribution model of pollutants concentration reveals the frequency above threshold values, which in turn helps in assessment of air pollution with reference to air quality standards or the calculation of environmental damages to receptors. So far, most of the studies on statistical distribution models of air pollutants pertain to TSP, PM<sub>10</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub>, CO, NO<sub>x</sub>, and O<sub>3</sub> but largely ignore PM<sub>2.5</sub> (Bencal and Seinfeld, 1976; Holland and Simons, 1982; Taylor et al., 1986; Sharma et al., 1999; Gokhale and Khare, 2007). The present study is an attempt to fill this gap.

The continuous monitoring of  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations was carried out at 1 minute interval using an Esampler (Met One instruments, USA) at three different locations namely, JNU, Ashok Vihar and ITO in Delhi. It is to be noted that JNU and Ashok Vihar, both are residential site whereas ITO is a heavy traffic zone. All the measurements were taken during the month of September to November 2006. Observations (1 minute interval) taken at ITO during 17 September to 22 September 2006 shows typical variation marked with diurnal cycles having varying amplitudes ranging from a minimum of 0.014 mg/m<sup>3</sup> to the maximum of 0.307 mg/m<sup>3</sup> with mean 0.424 mg/m<sup>3</sup>. At Ashok Vihar, measurements were taken during 18 October to 1<sup>st</sup> November 2006 which also includes the festival Diwali (21<sup>st</sup> October) in between. A sharp rise in PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration has been observed on Diwali reaching up to 3.458 mg/m<sup>3</sup>. Typical diurnal cycles have also been observed for PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration at JNU, during 10 November to 15 November 2006 with mean 1.881 mg/m<sup>3</sup>.

The goodness-of-fit tests have been used to identify the appropriate probability distribution model fitting to  $PM_{2.5}$  concentration, which include KS (Kolmogorov-Smirnov), Anderson-Darling (AD), and Kuiper (K) statistics. Seven statistical distribution models namely, Normal, Exponential, Chi-square, Gamma, Weibull, Logistic and Lognormal have been attempted in order to identify the appropriate model. The parameters for the models have been estimated using maximum likelihood

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding Author : Tel: +91-11-26704318

email: ujjwalkumarin@gmail.com

method. Based on KS, AD, and K statistics, weibull distribution model has been found to be the most suitable for the  $PM_{2.5}$  concentration at JNU and Ashok Vihar sites while Gamma distribution has been found to be the most appropriate model at ITO site. Thus, Weibull distribution model seems to be the best fit for the distribution of  $PM_{2.5}$  concentration at residential sites while gamma distribution model governs frequency distribution of  $PM_{2.5}$  concentration at heavy traffic zone (ITO). The different nature of distributions at residential and heavy traffic zone may be attributed to traffic flow, its composition and associated emissions.

## **References**:

- 1. Bencala, K.E., Seinfeld, J.H., 1976. On frequency distribution of air pollutant concentrations. Atmospheric Environment 10, 941-950.
- Borja-Aburto, V.H., Castillejos, M., Gold, D.R., Bierzwinski, S., Loomis, D., 1998. Mortality and ambient fine particles in southwest Mexico City, 1993}1995. Environ Health Perspective; 106 (12), 849855.
- 3. Burnett, R.T., Smith-Doiron, M., Stieb, D., Cakmak, S., Brook, J.R., 1999. Efects of particulate and gaseous air pollution on cardiorespiratory hospitalizations. Archives of Environment Health; 54 (2); 130-139.
- 4. Dockery, D., Pope, A., 1996. Epidemiology of acute health effects: summary of time-series studies. In: Wilson, R., Spengler, J.D. (Eds.), Particles in Our Air: Concentration and Health Effects. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA, USA, pp. 123–147.
- Donaldson, K., MacNee, W., 1999. The mechanism of lung injury caused by PM10. In Air Pollution and Health. In: Hester, R.E., Harrison, R.M. (Eds.), Issue in Environmental Science and Technology. Royal Society of Chemistry, Reedwood Books Ltd., Trowbridge, Wiltshire, UK.
- 6. Gokhale, S., Khare, M. (2007); Statistical behaviour of carbon monoxide from vehicular exhaust in urban environments; Environmental Modelling and Software; 22; 526-535
- 7. Holland, D.M., Fitz-Simons, T., 1982. Fitting statistical distribution to air quality data by maximum likelihood method. Atmospheric Environment; 16; 1071-1076.
- Querol,X., Alastuey, A., Rodriguez, S., Plana, F., Ruiz, C. R., Cots, N., Massagu!, G., Puig, O. (2001): PM10 and PM2.5 source apportionment in the Barcelona Metropolitan area, Catalonia, Spain; Atmospheric Environment; 35; 6407–6419
- 9. Schwartz, J., Dochery, D.W., Neas, L.M., 1996. Is daily mortality associated specifically with fine particles? Journal of Air and Waste Management Association 46, 927–93
- Sharma, P., Khare, M., Chakrabarti, S.P., 1999. Application of extreme value theory for predicting violations of air quality standards for an urban road intersection. Transportation Research Part D4, 201-216.
- 11. Spurny, K.R., 1998. On the physics, chemistry and toxicology of ultra"ne anthropogenic, atmospheric aerosols (UAAA): newadvances. Toxicological Letters 96, 253-261.
- Taylor, J.A., Simpson, R.W., Jakeman, A.J., 1985. A hybrid model for predicting the distribution of pollutants dispersed from line sources. The Science of Total Environment; 46; 191-213.
- Tiitta, P., Raunema, T., Tissari, J., Yli-Tuomi, T., Leskinen, A., Kukkonen, J., H.ark.onen, J., Karppinen, J. (2002): Measurements and modelling of PM2.5 concentrations near a major road in Kuopio, Finland; Atmospheric Environment; 36; 4057–4068